| | | yAerospace ance.repair.overhaul | SA | FETY RISK | ASSESSME |
|------|------------------------|--|-----------------|--|---|
| HIRA | ARC NO.: | GAM/SMS/H-78(19) | Date conducted: | 9 Dec 2019 | |
| Pro | ocess/ Location: | Locally fabricated tools proced | Revision: | 0 | |
| | | Hazard Id | entification | | |
| No. | | Workplace/ Activity | Hazard | | Consequences/ Effects |
| 1 | Insert picture here | II manifemance. These tools | | n of ability to prescribe task te maintenance ns with non- with authority irement | Difficulty to perform assigned task and may implicated aircraft operation and safety |
| | | Internal procedure needs to be in placed to include | | dure does not e standard of | Improper and weak enforced |

maintenance activities to familiarize the use of tools

working condition

Insert

picture here

Insert

picture here

Insert

picture here

| | · * | | | | | | | Document No.: | GAM/SMS-H | R/002(18) |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| (vAerospace* | | | | | | | Release date: 10 Dec 2019 | | 019 | |
| er | ance, repair, overhaul | ASSESSMENT (HIRARC) FORM | | | SMS Page: APPENDIX C | | | | | |
| | GAM/SMS/H-78(19) | Date conducted: | 9 Dec 2019 | С | onducted by | <i>ı</i> : | Nizam (SM) | Approved by: | Mohamm | nad Nizam Jaafar |
| | Locally fabricated tools procedur | re Revision: | 0 | Received By: | | Salman (QAM) | Signature: | Quijn | | |
| Hazard Identification | | tification | | Risk Asses | | ssment | | Risk Control | | |
| | Workplace/ Activity | Hazard | Consequences/ Effects | Likeli- hood | Severity | Risk Rating | Existing Risk Control (if any) | Recommended and additional Control | PIC | Status/ Remarks |
| | tools locally to be used on maintenance. These tools have been fabricated as per | Reduction of ability to perform a prescribe task ill implicate maintenance operations with nonompliance with authority requirement | Difficulty to perform assigned task and may implicated aircraft operation and safety | 4 | E | 4 E | ` | Admin. Control: All request for alternative tools or fabricated tools must be raised by MOC | SM | Risk reduced to 38 after additional control measure and found on acceptable level |
| | to be in placed to include authority requirement or user au | The procedure does not meet the standard of uthority requirement and compliance which lead to be an unsafe working condition | Improper and weak enforced procedure may implicate the operation safety and a/c safety | 3 | С | 3C | Admin. Control: Refer to MOE Section 2.6.3 (Alternative tools and equipment) | Admin. Control: To develop an alternate tooling record form as a tracking for the implementation | EM QM SM | Risk reduced to 20 after existing and additional control measure and find on acceptable level after review |
| | through an appropriate test e.g load test, material test and calibration as required as a | Reduction of ability to perform a prescribe work and function due to lack and adequate training as er required which lead to be an unsafe working condition | Defect tools may be a potential of risk to a/c or a/c component damage and personnel injury | 2 | E | 2 E | , | Admin. Control: Vendor audit to be carried out to ensure the service provider meet the standard as required | QAM EM | Risk reduced to 1E after an additiona control measure and found was on acceptable level |
| | be carried out by end user or operation before the tools is officially been used in | Reduction of ability to perform a prescribe work due to lack and adequate training as per required which lead to be an unsafe | Lack of training may implicate to tools damage and personnel injury | 3 | В | 3B | Admin. Control: Refer to MOE Section 2.6.3 (Alternative tools and equipment) | Admin. Control: Additional training to be carried out by operation for the | SM QAM EM | Risk reduced to 1B after an additional control measure and found was on acceptable level |

fabricated tools